

# How to present data on violence in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database?

<http://eurogender.eige.europa.eu/online-discussions/how-present-data-violence-eige-gender-statistics-database>

**Starts at:** 14/03/2018, 10:00 CET (+01:00)

**Ends at:** 14/03/2018, 23:59 CET (+01:00)

Starting with 2018, EIGE's Gender Statistics Database will make available data on violence through a [newly restructured entry point](#). The entry point will give more visibility to data on violence against women, including data collected by the Member States. Although they are not always comparable, these data sets - available only in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database - provide unique insights into the violence against women phenomenon in Europe.

The [newly restructured entry point](#) will present data according to of the Istanbul Convention definitions, aiming to increase clarity and reduce duplication of the indicators.

We have already prepared the proposal for the entry point and we want to hear your opinions about it. **Join us, in the first online discussion of 2018, to put the proposal for the entry point on gender-based violence to a test!**

The proposal for the entry point and questions to be raised during the discussion will be soon available under Resources tab, but these are in a nutshell the areas we will be focusing on:

- the overall structure,
- the division of indicators into sub-sections,
- the proposal for the visual presentation of data.

The online discussion takes place in the form of writing on **14 March 2018, 10.00 am - 4.00pm CET**.

**On the day of the online discussion, login to your EuroGender account in order to be able to post comments. If you are not a registered member of EuroGender, click [here](#) to register.**

----

EIGE experts, together with ICF and Alphametrics Team, will be facilitating the online discussion during 10 – 4.00pm CET. The page of the online discussion will remain open for your comments the entire day.

## **File attachments:**

[online\\_discussion\\_data\\_on\\_violence\\_in\\_eige\\_gender\\_statistics\\_database\\_agenda.pdf](#)

**Facilitators:** European Institute for Gender Equality, Katerina Mantouvalou, Ligia Nobrega

1. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:03 EET (+02:00)

Good morning!

2. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:04 EET (+02:00)

We are Katerina Mantouvalou and Irina Ulcica from ICF. Together with Ligia Nobrega from EIGE we will be moderating today's online discussion. Thank you for your interest in the EIGE's Gender Statistics Database.

3. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 11:04 EET (+02:00)

Hello everybody, it is really nice to meet you all online today

4. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:06 EET (+02:00)

We will be online until 4 p.m. (CET). We invite you to follow and participate in the discussion as much as you can. Still, if you do not have enough time during the day, please be informed that the discussion remains open for you to post comments for the rest of the day.

5. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 11:06 EET (+02:00)

I am Ligia Nobrega working on EIGE's gender statistics database. Vytaute and I will be with you through this exciting event from EIGE's side.

6. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:08 EET (+02:00)

As you can see in the event's page, there are four topics of today's discussion. The discussion will be facilitated according to the agenda. However, in case you wish to refer to a topic that has been addressed earlier, feel free to do so by referring to the topic (as per the agenda).

7. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:09 EET (+02:00)

We invite those of you who are online and those who will join throughout the afternoon to share your thoughts on the display of gender-based violence data in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database. Your input will be most helpful to further improve this tool.

8. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 11:09 EET (+02:00)

We are looking forward to interesting exchanges and to a fruitful discussion!

9. *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 11:11 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone and thanks for joining today's discussion!

10. *Alexandrina Satnoianu* | 14/03/2018, 11:11 EET (+02:00)

Good morning and welcome on EuroGender! I am the EuroGender Admin, so if there are any issues with using EuroGender today, please send an email at: [eurogender@eige.europa.eu](mailto:eurogender@eige.europa.eu) or write a message in this thread tagging my name. If you want to reply / address someone specifically, please not that you can tag their names - [@Ligia Nobrega](#) / [@Irina Ulcica](#) / [@Katerina Mantouvalou](#).

Wish you a fruitful discussion!

11. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:13 EET (+02:00)

Good morning everyone, looking forward to our discussion today!

12. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:15 EET (+02:00)

We can start the discussion with a focus on the first topic looking at the overall structure of the proposed gender-based violence [entry point](#) in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database. One of the key and more difficult areas for a statistical information system on gender equality is gender-based violence. EIGE has 151 indicators on gender-based violence in the database covering themes such as the scale of different forms of gender-based violence (physical, psychological, sexual and economic violence), perceptions of gender-based violence and public measures and support services, among others.

13. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:17 EET (+02:00)

Both administrative and survey data are included in the database and the main sources are as follows:

- European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) survey on violence against women;
- Eurobarometer special surveys;
- European Working Conditions Survey (EWCS) conducted by Eurofound,
- Data from the joint Eurostat-UNODC project and National administrative data on violence against women.

14. *Alexandrina Satnoianu* | 14/03/2018, 11:18 EET (+02:00)

Dear participants, please note that - from time to time - you need to refresh the page to see the latest comments!

15. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:18 EET (+02:00)

Despite the variety of data sources in this area, availability of harmonised data to feed relevant indicators on gender-based violence is dwarf as it has been highlighted by EIGE. It is necessary to promote a common understanding on terminology and definitions, common standards for classification systems and systematic methodologies.

16. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:19 EET (+02:00)

In 2018, EIGE intends to make data on gender-based violence available through a newly restructured [entry point](#). The entry point will focus on promoting the visibility, accessibility and user-friendliness of data on gender-based violence, which is one of the key areas of EIGE's work. It also includes collection of the newest data on gender-based violence data at national level, updating the data previously collected by EIGE in 2014. This is one of the most frequently accessed data by users. Although the data is not always comparable, these data sets provide a unique insight to violence against women in Europe .

17. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:20 EET (+02:00)

The newly restructured entry point will use the Istanbul Convention classification of four forms of violence against women as a starting point to display data; these are physical, sexual, psychological and economic violence. The majority of the indicators included in the database can fit into one of these four categories. However, some indicators cannot and additional themes will be used to present them. The proposed new structure will build on the existing data and information that is currently available in the area of gender-based violence in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database and will seek to improve it further, including data that were not previously in the

database, reorganising existing data, removing duplication of indicators within the entry point and eliminating branches where data are not available to populate them.

18. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:22 EET (+02:00)

EIGE have already prepared a proposal for the entry point and we would like to test and to hear your opinions about it. We would like to note that for the purpose of this online discussion, we have only displayed data from Czech Republic on violence against women, and from Austria on intimate partner violence. These datasets provide an example of how the data will be displayed and where it will be allocated, and should therefore be considered as provisional data.

19. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:23 EET (+02:00)

We would now like to ask you to have a look through the proposed entry point and get familiar with it.

20. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 11:24 EET (+02:00)

We are looking forward to lead you through the new entry point and have your feedback. Some of our main stakeholders already sent their comments beforehand as they are not able to participate today.

We received the following comment what refers to the overall structure of the gender-based violence entry point:

‘We believe that the overall structure of the gender-based violence entry point is very comprehensive, with indicators concerning a large variety of themes and sub-themes.’  
(CYSTAT)

21. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:24 EET (+02:00)

**Task 1:** Please **follow these steps** on EIGE’s Gender Statistics Database:

1. Please go to [EIGE’s Gender Statistics Database](#)
2. Click on the ‘**Gender-based violence entry point**’
3. Have a browse through the different themes and the indicators available
4. Select any themes of your interest

22. *Spyridon Bolakis* | 14/03/2018, 11:34 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone. I see that female genital mutilation is included in the structure, although there are no indicators yet. I think this is useful. I would suggest that you also add other forms of gender-based violence mentioned in the Istanbul Convention (even if there are no indicators yet), like forced marriage.

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 11:47 EET (+02:00)

Hello Spyridon, welcome to our discussion!

23. *Tamás Antal Heizer* | 14/03/2018, 11:35 EET (+02:00)

Good morning everyone and thank you for the opportunity to discuss the new entry point! I think its overall structure is adequate and reflects on the outcome of the discussion we had in Vilnius on the 7th of November last year. I agree with the structure of the themes, I am only hesitant if it maybe would be more user-friendly to move a couple of indicators from the “Attitudes and perceptions theme – Perceptions on public measures and support services sub-category” to the “Support services theme” under a new sub-category on perceptions specifically on support services.

**File attachments:** 1.png

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 11:55 EET (+02:00)

Good morning Tamas, thank you so much for making the link with our latest event on the Gender Statistics Database and for keeping engaged on EIGE's work.

Thanks also for this suggestion. The `support services theme` has been quite a challenging and problematic tab to display but very relevant within gender-based violence phenomena. It is a good idea to enrich it with additional data. A possibility could be to have the data under both sub-themes, although, EIGE has been trying to avoid duplication along the entry point as this might create confusion.

24. *Solene Larue* | 14/03/2018, 11:36 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone.

Just little things from my side.

I believe that the overall structure of the gender-based violence entry point is very intuitive and really well-described. Themes and sub-themes are pretty comprehensive.

However, the GBV entry point is not highlighted and a bit lost between all others. Moreover, Katerina said “151 indicators” which we can find via “Thematic areas” entry point but via “GBV” entry point we can see only 118 indicators.

**Comments:**

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 11:47 EET (+02:00)

Dear Solene, the new entry point is not yet visible on the database as we aim to finalize it after this online discussion. We provide you with a link to a staging environment of the database. Therefore, the new GBV entry point is different from the one under 'Thematic areas' which is an old one.

25. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:36 EET (+02:00)

Thanks @Ligia Nobrega and @CYSTAT

26. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:40 EET (+02:00)

Welcome @Tamas Antal Heizer and [@Solene Larue](#) and @Spyridon Bolakis

27. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:43 EET (+02:00)

@[Tamás Antal Heizer](#) thanks a lot for the suggestion of moving some indicators to 'Support Services' - it is definitely and something that we can explore further

28. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:45 EET (+02:00)

[@Solene Larue](#) thanks a lot for the initial feedback and the question that you raised. Let me clarify that at this stage the entry point has 118 indicators but additional national administrative data will be added that will increase the number to 151.

29. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:50 EET (+02:00)

[@Spyridon Bolakis](#) You raised an interesting point and this is something that we are still considering. So far we have decided not to include branches in the entry point for which we have no data collected. EIGE is currently collecting data on female genital mutilation and these will become available in the database in due course. There are a number of other forms of violence that could be included where data though are not available as you proposed.

30. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:51 EET (+02:00)

Moving on from the first impressions of the structure, do you agree with the classification of data under the four forms of violence as defined in the Istanbul Convention (Physical / Sexual / Psychological / Economical Violence)?

31. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 11:54 EET (+02:00)

Furthermore, do you agree with the classification of crimes under physical and sexual violence?

32. *Spyridon Bolakis* | 14/03/2018, 11:55 EET (+02:00)

Another comment on the new structure is that it could be confusing to some, as it mostly consists of types of violent acts (physical, pshcological, economic), but also includes intimate partner violence, which refers to the relationship between the victim and perpetrator and can be (and most of the time is) related to all types of violent acts (physical, psychological, economic, sexual, etc.)

33. *Femicidio.net* | 14/03/2018, 12:01 EET (+02:00)

Good morning, everyone.

From our part, we think it would be very interesting (specially for civil society organizations) to have a budget field in every indicator. Knowing how much every government expends on each task is a basic transparency principle. It also helps understand the outcome of the statistics: low rates of trafficking victims registered do not mean trafficking is low in the country. It may mean there are no resources been destined to preventing and recuing trafficking victims. Thank you for your time. We are looking forward to this discussion!

**Comments:**

○ *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:15 EET (+02:00)

Good morning and welcome to the discussion! The costs of gender-based violence is under our agency's concern. An analysis of methodological options on the cost of gender-based violence and intimate partner violence has already been published

(<http://eige.europa.eu/rdc/library/resource/dedupmrg19159294>). We are still very far from having comparable data throughout EU Member States on this matter. Your suggestion for including a budget field under every indicator is

definitely something to have in mind when the government accountability system could provide such data.

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:22 EET (+02:00)

As you said, it will provide clarity on how to use data on gender-based violence. Statistics of this phenomenon should be used and analysed with care as they are very much related to gender-power relations dynamics. We always should be aware that statistics on violence against women reflect the tip of the iceberg covering non-reporting and non-registered incidents.

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:26 EET (+02:00)

Through EIGE's gender statistics database, namely, through highlighting an entry point on gender-based violence, we shall contribute also for better availability and quality of statistics under this area. The Database shows available data but also data gaps

34. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:04 EET (+02:00)

[@Spyridon Bolakis](#) That's another important point to clarify in the new structure. Data on intimate partner violence come predominatly from national administrative sources. They were collected in 2017 during EIGE's study on administrative data collection on intimate partner violence by the police and the judiciary and are based on 13 fully defined indicators developed by EIGE. In the new entry point we created a separate sub-theme on intimate partner violence to give more visibility to this particular data collection exercise.

35. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 12:05 EET (+02:00)

Good morning everyone!

In my opinion, the structure of GBV entry point looks good, and I agree with the classification according to the forms of violence defined in the Istanbul Convention. However, when it comes to Economic violence, I think that more data from another available surveys might be included, since the administrative data cannot provide full coverage and there are plenty of cases which are not reported.

36. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:07 EET (+02:00)

Thank you [@Ivana Naskova](#) We recognise that national administrative data on economic violence are scarce. Do you have suggestions of survey data that could be included to fill in the gap?

37. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:17 EET (+02:00)

To wrap up this first session, would you add any other theme in the new entry point?

38. *Cristina Martelli* | 14/03/2018, 12:22 EET (+02:00)

good morning everyone!

**Comments:**

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 12:24 EET (+02:00)

Good morning Cristina and welcome to our discussion!

39. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:22 EET (+02:00)

In that case let us move on to the second session. You can always come back to the overall structure later in the day if you have additional comments.

40. *Observatory on Domestic and Gender Violence of the General Council for the Judiciary [Observatorio contra la Violencia Doméstica y de Género del Consejo General del Poder Judicial]* | 14/03/2018, 12:23 EET (+02:00)

Hi everyone! Good morning! Nice to see you all online! I agree with Spyridon, I think that it should be clearly stated that IPV is a category itself due to the reason mentioned by Katerina and data availability... As regards the question raised by Katerina, I have a question on one category of crime under sexual violence: intentional homicide and sexual violence, does it mean that intentional homicide and sexual abuse occur at the same time?

**Comments:**

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:33 EET (+02:00)

Thanks for the suggestion, we will elaborate more on the description of the intimate partner violence data. Regarding your question, the indicator 'intentional homicide and sexual offences by sex' comes directly from Eurostat and, according to the metadata, it refers to cases of intentional homicide, rape or sexual assault as separate offences which do not necessarily occur at the same time.

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 12:38 EET (+02:00)

Good morning and welcome to the discussion!

What regards the indicator on Intentional homicide and sexual offences, the indicator itself does not present data on two crimes occurred at the same time. It is rather a matter of grouping data into one indicator. If you open the given indicator you could filter the data by type of violence, i.e. intentional homicide or sexual assault.

41. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 12:25 EET (+02:00)

@Katerina Mantouvalou I represent the the State stataistical office, and at the moment there are no surveys which cover economic violence, but we are planning to conduct for the first time the Survey on gender-based violence in 2020, according to Eurostat's methodology. Hopefully, we will produce more comaprable data with improved coverage.

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:34 EET (+02:00)

Thank you for reminding us about this new survey under official statistics for which EIGE has been lobbying already since 2012 when the first proposal for a EU victimisation survey was not approved.

Eurostat survey on gender-based violence shall provide comparable data within the EU. We are very much looking forward for these statistics to be included in the Database.

42. *Spyridon Bolakis* | 14/03/2018, 12:26 EET (+02:00)

@Katerina Mantouvalou Maybe data collected by national hotlines could be used to fill in the gap.

43. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:37 EET (+02:00)

[@Spyridon Bolakis](#) Thanks a lot for your suggestion. So far EIGE has prioritised national administrative data collection by the police and the judiciary on violence against women as national data collected by these two institutions are more comparable (though issues of data comparability still exist). Moving forward, national data collection from additional sources could be considered.

44. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 12:41 EET (+02:00)

Hello again to everybody.

45. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 12:41 EET (+02:00)

Thank you for your contributions regarding the gender-based violence entry point. We will now turn our attention to the division of indicators within the sub-themes of the proposed entry point.

46. *Cristina Martelli* | 14/03/2018, 12:43 EET (+02:00)

dear all, I have browsed the new entry point, which is really good and intuitive: in my opinion, as I have sometimes mentioned, the system would really benefit (especially when dealing with violence conceptual mode) of an explicit bridge between the statistical data base and the glossary. I have made some "surfing" between the two environments with concepts like "rape" or "services". The information is complete and really accurate but we have to make some steps to have a complete information environment.

***Comments:***

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:56 EET (+02:00)

Hello Cristina, thank you for taking the time on joining us today. It is in EIGE's plans to improve the link throughout all relevant tools. EIGE's Glossary on gender equality is of paramount importance for further improvement of the Database narrative and afterwards to enrich the Statistical Information System underlying the Gender Statistics Database

47. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 12:44 EET (+02:00)

At this stage of our discussion, I would like to raise another relevant comment/suggestion from CYPSTAT:

`The data presented under themes other than the four forms of violence are also self-explanatory.

I noticed that there are indicators under Physical violence -> Survey Data pertaining to psychological violence in the workplace and elsewhere. Our suggestion is to add under "Sexual Violence" the theme of "sexual harassment in the workplace and elsewhere".`

***Comments:***

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 12:54 EET (+02:00)

Thanks a lot @Ligia Nobrega and @CYSTAT. Just to clarify, I assume that you are referring to the indicators under psychological violence. Under sexual violence -> sexual assault -> survey data you can find data on cases of unwanted sexual attention in the workplace which are linked to the topic raised by @CYSTAT. I would welcome suggestions for additional data and relevant sources that could be included here.

48. *Spyridon Bolakis* | 14/03/2018, 12:49 EET (+02:00)

As for question 4, in my opinion the distinction between administrative and survey data should be presented in the metadata only, so that the visitor can see the total of available indicators in one sub-theme.

**Comments:**

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 13:02 EET (+02:00)

Thank you [@Spyridon Bolakis](#) That's an interesting suggestion. In the new entry point EIGE decided to follow Eurostat's approach and make the distinction between survey and administrative data visible to the users when browsing the entry point. This will ensure that data collected following different methods (and ultimately providing somewhat different results) are correctly interpreted. For example, due to under-reporting of incidents of violence against women, administrative data sometimes do not provide the full scale of the problem which becomes a bit clearer when we analyse prevalence survey data.

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 13:06 EET (+02:00)

[@Spyridon Bolakis](#) thank you for your feed-back. The logic behind the decision to separate administrative data from survey data is to highlight the fact that administrative data reflect on registered incidents of violence only while survey data reflect on the prevalence of violence and reveal a bigger picture of this phenomena.

49. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 12:50 EET (+02:00)

**Task 2:** Please **follow these steps** on EIGE's Gender Statistics Database:

0. Please go to [EIGE's Gender Statistics Database](#)
1. Click on the '**Gender-based violence entry point**'
2. Select data available on one of the forms of violence
3. Select one of the indicators of interest

50. *Cristina Martelli* | 14/03/2018, 12:53 EET (+02:00)

if you check on the glossary the term sexual violence, a narrower term is, among the others, is sexual harassment., whose narrower term is , at the moment cyber harassment

this is an example of what I mean.

the glossary is the proper place where concepts (in terms of definition and structure) are defined. from there we move toward figures and vice versa

**Comments:**

- *Alexandrina Satnoianu* | 14/03/2018, 13:06 EET (+02:00)

[@Dimitris Tsoutsias](#) - a very relevant observation brought-up by professor Martelli. We could be considering it further in our work with [EIGE's Glossary and Thesaurus on Gender Equality](#)

51. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 12:55 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone, thanks for the opportunity of joining this online discussion.

**Comments:**

- *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 13:00 EET (+02:00)

Hi [@Zulema Altamirano](#), thank you very much for joining the discussion today. Please feel free to refer to and comment on any of the previous discussions this morning.

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 13:03 EET (+02:00)

Hello Zulema, welcome to the discussion. We are looking forward for your comments and contributions!

52. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 12:55 EET (+02:00)

Is the structure of the browsing tree clear when searching for an indicator on a specific form of violence?

53. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 12:55 EET (+02:00)

I just missed my comment :( so I will try to rewrite it again :).

54. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 12:59 EET (+02:00)

The structure is very intuitive and clear, I like it. Some remarks:

1. Under sexual violence, to include sexual harassment, it becomes a very important form of violence in the agenda now, and it would be very important to have the data available. Survey data from FRA related to the workplace and cyber-harassment could be used here.

2. Under IPV I would suggest to include indicators related to psychological violence at least, physical and sexual are not the only forms of IPV according to the Istanbul convention...

3. Under attitudes and perceptions, I would suggest to include: a) perceptions on IPV and sexual violence as two separate categories; b) opinion on roots and causes on IPV after "on characteristics". Both aspects are covered by indicators in the Eurobarometers, with very interesting data.

55. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 12:59 EET (+02:00)

The indicators can be found easily, the browsing tree is pretty much clear.

**Comments:**

○ *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 13:15 EET (+02:00)

Thank you for your feedback, it's much appreciated and we're glad that you found this clear.

56. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 13:05 EET (+02:00)

Indicators on attitude and perceptions on specific forms of gender-based violence are a bit more difficult to reach, though...

57. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 13:09 EET (+02:00)

In IPV > administrative data, I would like to ask about the reason why intentional homicide is not under IPV indicators but under "other administrative data", it might be less intuitive to look for this indicator under the current category...

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 13:31 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks for your suggestions Zulema. We shall keep them on board.

Since its launch in 2016 that the Database has been substantially growing in its content by increasing the dissemination of statistics collected by EIGE and also by prominent external statistical providers. Our agency is now being a bit more strict while applying the quality criteria for selecting reliable and valid indicators.

Due to the specificity of Gender-based violence statistics these quality criteria could not be fully applied but it is also on EIGE's plans to highlight the statistics gaps what refers to quality and not only availability.

Major data sources will have the opportunity to provide more comprehensive technical reporting while benchmarking with EIGE's quality criteria on gender statistics.

58. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 13:13 EET (+02:00)

[@Zulema Altamirano](#) these are all very interesting points you raised. Regarding sexual harassment, data are available under Attitudes and perceptions -> Perceptions of incidence of gender based violence -> In general. We can consider though giving more visibility to this form of violence as it is high on the agenda as you pointed out.

Under IPV, there is an indicator related to psychological violence collected by national administrative sources. However, data are scarce on this form of violence against women.

Regarding your final suggestion, we can explore further the Eurobarometer surveys to see if more data can be included in the database. However, I should note that it is one of the key sources of data that is currently displayed in the database.

59. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 13:23 EET (+02:00)

[@Zulema Altamirano](#) It is true that indicators on attitude and perceptions might be a bit more difficult to reach. One option would be duplicating a small number of indicators in different themes of the new entry point. However, there are some concerns that this might confuse database users.

60. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 13:27 EET (+02:00)

Thank you once again. We are now closing our already delayed morning session due to your non-stop and great contributions. We wish you a nice lunch break and hope to see you back at 13.30 in 60 minutes.

61. *Mar Hermosilla* | 14/03/2018, 13:31 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone. Pleased to participate in this forum. In my opinion the "Gender Based Violence entry point" is very well developed. The different indicators and available themes address widely a topic as complex as Gender Violence.

I just would like to make a suggestion. I think it would be interesting to add briefly in the metadata of each type of violence a generic definition of each type as well as the crimes that are normally included in the most of Member States, this would facilitate to use a most global concept without having to check the different definition of each country.

***Comments:***

o *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 13:48 EET (+02:00)

Hello Mar, welcome to the discussion and many thanks for taking time to follow us.

Your suggestion is very much connected to [@Cristina Martelli](#)'s proposal on the connection between the Database and other resources aiming to enrich the narrative system.

Metadata is a fundamental element of a statistics Database, providing clarity and a full understanding of data. Through metadata the gender-specific quality considerations are clarified enabling further gender-sensitive analysis by expert users. This is even more pertinent when it comes to gender-based violence statistics which deserves a thorough revision of the metadata provided.

62. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 13:40 EET (+02:00)

Thanks Katerina for your reply.

Related to IPV, I guess it might be possible to include as survey data, those indicators coming from FRA related to psychological violence by partner or expartner.

With respect to "attitudes and perceptions", and some further data on the Eurobarometer to be included..

1. I see that under this category there is no subtitle as "attitude" but as "opinion", I think it might be more coherent to talk about attitudes rather than opinion, and

2. I would suggest to include those questions from the eurobarometer related to "victim's role", "abuse of rape claims" and "identity of sexual violence perpetrators" plus the item "justification of sexual intercourse without consent" (I could not find them in any indicator...)

Have a nice lunch!

Zulema

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 14:35 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks Zulema for your suggestions.

What refers to titles it is always a bit challenging to compromise between the indicator labeling and the more appropriate/intuitive structure. Your comment is very valid for consistency sake indeed. We shall consider it.

With the release of the new entry point a substantial revision and update of data availability under this area will be done which should have possible new indicators on board.

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:37 EET (+02:00)

Thanks a lot [@Zulema Altamirano](#) EIGE will reflect on all these suggestions while finalising the new entry point.

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 15:20 EET (+02:00)

[@Zulema Altamirano](#) To add to what was said by Ligia and Katerina, EUROBAROMETER data were exhaustively used in the database, meaning that all indicators from those surveys were included in the database. Some questions are not displayed as separate indicators and are grouped. For instance, the question whether forcing a partner to have sex is wrong and

against the law is included here: [https://www-s.eige.europa.eu/gender-statistics/dgs/indicator/genvio\\_att ...](https://www-s.eige.europa.eu/gender-statistics/dgs/indicator/genvio_att...)

63. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:32 EET (+02:00)

Welcome back! We hope you had a nice break.

64. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:33 EET (+02:00)

A unique feature of EIGE's Gender Statistics Database is that it includes data on gender-based violence at national level. This is one of the most frequently accessed data by users. Although the data is not always comparable, these data sets provide a unique insight to violence against women in Europe. In the proposed new entry point EIGE would like to give more visibility to national administrative data on violence against women.

65. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:34 EET (+02:00)

We would like to invite you to explore the presentation of these national administrative datasets. As a reminder, temporarily for the purpose of this online discussion, only data from Czech Republic is displayed on violence against women, and only data from Austria is displayed on intimate partner violence.

66. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:35 EET (+02:00)

Please **follow these steps** on EIGE's Gender Statistics Database:

0. Please go to [EIGE's Gender Statistics Database](#)
1. Click on the '**Gender-based violence entry point**'
2. Select data available on one of the forms of violence
3. Click on national administrative data
4. Select the 'Metadata' tab – you should see the Excel file for the Czech Republic
5. Select this excel file and navigate through the tabs available.

67. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:40 EET (+02:00)

Do you agree with the suggestion to present national administrative data on violence against women under each one of the four forms of violence as defined by the Istanbul Convention?

68. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 14:41 EET (+02:00)

Hello again to everybody. We are very grateful for all the high level comments received so far from all of you.

We hope keeping you engaged after this short break.

69. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 14:43 EET (+02:00)

On this point, we have some comments from CYSTAT:

Yes, we agree with the classification of data under the four themes of violence as defined in the Istanbul Convention (Physical/Sexual/Phychological/Economical Violence).

We agree with the classification of crimes under physical and sexual violence.

70. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 14:49 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks [@Ligia Nobrega](#) and @CYSTAT for their feedback on the presentation of national administrative data under the four forms of violence and the classification of crimes under each one of them. Do other participants agree with this?

71. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 14:55 EET (+02:00)

One of the main challenges faced by EIGE on displaying data on gender-based violence in the database is the scarcity of harmonised indicators and comparable data. This does not mean that data is not collected by EU Member States addressing their particular needs and policy frameworks.

Therefore, we took the decision of displaying national data which is not comparable in a different format than the other statistics, highlighting the non-comparability of data, although available at national level.

Your feed-back on the solutions found for displaying such data is fundamental for ensuring continuity to this data collection exercise and improving the accessibility of national data.

72. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 15:01 EET (+02:00)

Hello again, the problem I see for the presentation of national admin data under the four forms of violence mentioned is that the collection of data at national level by admin sources (police, justice, social services, health services, national statistics offices..) does not always fully respond to these categories but mostly to forms of violence (p.e. IPV, rape, sexual assault, harassment, sexual harassment...), it might be difficult to include some of those indicators...

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 15:13 EET (+02:00)

Hello Zulema, in fact this has been the main challenge we had to overcome when started collecting the data produced at the national level. For the current online discussion, only one country has been put on staging in this temporary link, which corresponds just to one country. As the data are not comparable the aggregates also might slightly change from country to country being more in line with the framework followed at the national level. We tend, however, to follow as much as possible the Istanbul Convention

73. *Mar Hermosilla* | 14/03/2018, 15:04 EET (+02:00)

Ligia I totally agree with you on the enormous difficulty to harmonize the national data. Perhaps at the orientation level a brief reference to the ICCS classification of crimes could be included, as a general vision for the user.

**Comments:**

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 15:26 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks for this suggestion Mar. EIGE has been very much involved on improving data collection on gender-based violence working with national and international stakeholders such as Eurostat and UNODC (<http://eige.europa.eu/gender-based-violence/data-collection>). Along this process, we also had the opportunity to support and contribute to mainstream the gender perspective of the International Crime classification system and support its implementation. Your point is extremely relevant as this nomenclature should guide any data collection exercise in this area.

74. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 15:08 EET (+02:00)

Related to crimes, I would also include psychological violence, as crimes such as threatening (connected to GBV) or breaking of restraining order (currently considered in Spain as a crime connected to intimate partner violence -and included as gvb in our statistics) could be included as in some countries they are collected as forms of GBV.

The same for harassment for those countries where sexual or psychological harassment is a crime and they collect data segregated by sex...

**Comments:**

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:17 EET (+02:00)

Thanks [@Zulema Altamirano](#) Psychological violence is included as one of the four forms of violence where data are available in the database. So far national administrative data collection covers stalking and psychological intimate partner violence. In relation to the later (psychological intimate partner violence), different offences are included according to national legislation and data collection practices. This is explained in more detail in the metadata that will be available for each Member State in due course.

75. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:09 EET (+02:00)

[@Zulema Altamirano](#) That's true, data collected at Member State level correspond to specific offences (e.g. rape, sexual assault, harassment and sexual harassment) which themselves fall under the different categories of violence. Is there a particular offence that you believe that it should have been placed under a different form of violence?

76. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 15:16 EET (+02:00)

Intimate Partner Violence (being in Spain Gender-based Violence) as a crime includes in Spain not only cases of physical abuse but also psychological violence and breaking of restraining order. Currently is the third cause of entering into prison. In our case, under which category of crime (physical or sexual is your proposal) would these data be placed as they covered more than one form of violence...

**Comments:**

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:27 EET (+02:00)

[@Zulema Altamirano](#) I agree that data on intimate partner violence cover more than one offence or form of violence in many cases (i.e. both psychological and physical). In the proposal for the new entry point, these data would be included in the sub-theme of intimate partner violence. If it was clear in the title of the indicator and the metadata that these intimate partner violence data cover two offences (e.g. physical and sexual) they could be duplicated in both forms of violence.

77. *Cristina Martelli* | 14/03/2018, 15:24 EET (+02:00)

dear all, I am very sorry not to be able to attend this important online discussion anymore, but my class is starting!

best wishes to all of you:i'll read the transcriptions when my class will be over!

**Comments:**

- *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 15:26 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much for joining the discussion today and for your valuable input! The page will remain open for the rest of the day if you would like to post any additional comments.

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 15:27 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks Cristina for your participation so far. See you soon online and looking forward for further comments you would like to add.

78. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:30 EET (+02:00)

Still focusing on the display of national administrative data, can you please confirm if metadata are clear and self-explanatory?

79. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 15:40 EET (+02:00)

Thanks for this question Katerina, we can build in some of the very relevant comments already mentioned such as @Mar Hermossilla and @Cristina Martelli which will contribute to more clear and self-explanatory metadata.

80. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:43 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much for your valuable responses. As we previously mentioned, in addition to the national administrative data on violence against women in general, the new entry point will also have national administrative data on intimate partner violence collected by the police and the judiciary in Member States. These datasets are based on 13 indicators that EIGE developed and fully defined as part of its recently completed study on intimate partner violence.

81. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:44 EET (+02:00)

We would now like to ask you to have a go at the following task to explore the data on intimate partner violence. We remind you again that for the purpose of this online discussion, only data from Austria is displayed on intimate partner violence.

Do let us know how you get on.

82. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:45 EET (+02:00)

Please **follow these steps** on EIGE's Gender Statistics Database:

0. Please go to [EIGE's Gender Statistics Database](#)
1. Click on the '**Gender-based violence entry point**'
2. Click on intimate partner violence
3. Select national administrative data
4. Select the 'Metadata' tab – you should see the Excel file for Austria
5. Select this excel file and navigate through the tabs available.

83. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 15:47 EET (+02:00)

Do you agree with the suggestion to present national administrative data on intimate partner violence as a separate theme in the new entry point?

84. *Marta Adiego* | 14/03/2018, 16:00 EET (+02:00)

Hello everyone, I am sorry for being late.

**Comments:**

- *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 16:04 EET (+02:00)

Good afternoon [@Marta Adiego](#) and welcome to the discussion!

85. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 16:02 EET (+02:00)

I agree with the suggestion the national administrative data on intimate partner violence to be presented as a separate theme in the new entry point because it is easier to find what are you looking for, even though there is duplication with the other entry points.

**Comments:**

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:06 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much Ivana, we are glad to hear this.

- *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 16:14 EET (+02:00)

Thanks for your contribution Ivana. One of EIGE's major studies led last year was on the improvement of administrative data on intimate partner violence (IPV). This effort should also be reflected in the new gender-based violence entry point which takes us to create a separate theme specifically devoted to IPV.

86. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 16:05 EET (+02:00)

Thank you once more for this question Katerina. Another very good suggestion was already mentioned by @[Spyridon Bolakis](#) regarding the need to make more clear Intimate Partner Violence in the database.

87. *Marta Adiego* | 14/03/2018, 16:08 EET (+02:00)

I am sorry I do not have the time to contribute a lot. I new entry point is fantastic.

What I want to highlight is the need to clarify what is included in each indicator (perhaps is may problem and I do not find the information easily). For example, what is included under "sexual assault" or "other sexual offences" in each country. Would it be clearly explained in the metadata by country? or it is already and I do not find the information. This is very important to understand the data and to clearly communicate with experts and national authorities.

***Comments:***

- *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 16:15 EET (+02:00)

Dear Marta, thank you for your feedback. It complements the idea which was raised by other participant to have a description of each type of violence displayed under metadata. What regards non comparable data from national administrative data sources, the definitions of particular type of violence are provided for each country.

- *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:19 EET (+02:00)

Thanks @[Marta Adiego](#) The metadata provide information on the units of measurement and the legal definitions of different offences. This should allow you to interpret data correctly for each Member State.

88. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:09 EET (+02:00)

Thanks all. I would like to ask you now to look at one specific indicator under Intimate partner violence --> Administrative data --> Women victims of intimate femicide (aged 18 and over) committed by a male intimate partner (aged 18 and over), as a share of the women victims of homicide (aged 18 and over)

89. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:11 EET (+02:00)

Is it clear what the data represent and are the metadata clear and self-explanatory? I would like to remind you that for the purpose of this online discussion we have made data available only for one Member State - Austria.

90. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 16:30 EET (+02:00)

To access the relevant spreadsheet, you can click on this link and see both data and metadata for the specific indicator on femicide - [https://www-s.eige.europa.eu/gender-statistics/dgs/indicator/genvio\\_int ...](https://www-s.eige.europa.eu/gender-statistics/dgs/indicator/genvio_int...)

91. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 16:32 EET (+02:00)

Once you've had the opportunity to browse through the spreadsheet, we would welcome any suggestions for how this proposed spreadsheet could be made even clearer?

92. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:42 EET (+02:00)

Thanks [@Irina Ulcica](#). Participants can always come back to this point after the end of this discussion, the page will remain open for the rest of the day. I would like now to to the last point on our agenda. We would like to discuss EIGE's proposal for the visual presentation of data and metadata on gender-based violence.

93. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:43 EET (+02:00)

If you have just joined us, do not hesitate to also answer some of the previous questions – all of your input is welcome and will be taken onboard for the final report.

94. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:46 EET (+02:00)

We would now like to ask you to do the following exercise:

Please **follow these steps** on EIGE's Gender Statistics Database:

0. Please go to [EIGE's Gender Statistics Database](#)
1. Click on the **'Gender-based violence entry point'**
2. Select data available on Sexual violence -> Sexual assault -> Administrative data -> Available national administrative data on sexual assault
3. Select data – you should see a chart providing information on the availability of data to populate the indicator for each Member State

95. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:48 EET (+02:00)

What are your impressions of the visual presentation of the data? Is it clear and self-explanatory what 'AVAILABLE (1)' and 'NOT AVAILABLE (0)' means in this table?

96. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 16:55 EET (+02:00)

Here is the comment received from CYSTAT on this task:

When following the steps presented in the agenda for task 5, the use of the visualization tool was made easier. Perhaps an instruction note or document should supplement the DB concerning the use of the visual presentations.

97. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 16:59 EET (+02:00)

That's a very good suggestion. Thanks [@Ligia Nobrega](#) and @CYSTAT for this proposal.

98. *Tamás Antal Heizer* | 14/03/2018, 17:04 EET (+02:00)

I think it is clear, although the actual text above the chart ("UNIT: AVAILABLE(1); NOT AVAILABLE(0)") should be more highlighted. For the first glance I could hardly notice it.

**Comments:**

- *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 17:06 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much for this feedback, it is good for us to know and we will take this onboard.

99. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 17:04 EET (+02:00)

I agree, very good suggestion from CYSTAT, brief guidelines for using the visual presentations would be welcomed.

100. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 17:11 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks Ivana and Tamas, very valid comments.  
An important point of information is that on the basis of our users' feed-back, we have been developing a Frequently asked questions document and a step by step guide for users. This will be displayed through the Database interface after its substantial revision we are planning for 2018 together with a new entry point on gender-based violence with more updated data.

101. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 17:13 EET (+02:00)

Thank you all for your valuable feedback. If you would like to add anything in relation to the visual presentation of data or any other point raised in today's discussion we will take it on board while preparing the final report. The page will remain open for the rest of the day if you would like to post any additional comments.

102. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 17:13 EET (+02:00)

There will be more opportunities for us to meet in this space to discuss the Database further.

103. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 17:14 EET (+02:00)

We will summarise all your suggestions and comments concerning the Database in a detailed summary report. It will be circulated among all participants for further feedback and will undoubtedly shape EIGE's planning for the future database. We will try to implement at least some of the changes you have suggested.

104. *Ligia Nobrega* | 14/03/2018, 17:16 EET (+02:00)

I personally thank you all for your persistence while being online, engaging with the proposed exercises and sending extremely relevant and helpful comments. As usual these are valuable for supporting EIGE shaping the tool according to users and producers of gender statistics.

We felt very honoured by having you today. Feel free to comment further and let's keep in touch!

105. *Katerina Mantouvalou* | 14/03/2018, 17:16 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much again and have a nice rest of the day!

106. *Vytaute Vailionyte* | 14/03/2018, 17:16 EET (+02:00)

Many thanks for your active participation and thorough comments. We will definitely take them on board while finalizing the new entry point on gender-based violence. Hope to see you all in the upcoming online discussions!

107. *Tamás Antal Heizer* | 14/03/2018, 17:18 EET (+02:00)

Thank you very much for the opportunity and congratulations for the amazing work to all colleagues both at EIGE and ICF!

108. *Mar Hermosilla* | 14/03/2018, 17:18 EET (+02:00)

Thanks to you. It has been a pleasure to participate.

109. *Irina Ulcica* | 14/03/2018, 17:19 EET (+02:00)

Thank you all for a great discussion and very interesting feedback!

110. *Solene Larue* | 14/03/2018, 17:22 EET (+02:00)

Have a nice evening.

111. *Ivana Naskova* | 14/03/2018, 17:33 EET (+02:00)

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback and suggestions.

112. *Zulema Altamirano* | 14/03/2018, 18:19 EET (+02:00)

Sorry I could not Join last part. Thank You so much for the opportunity of this online discussion and all the best for the final structure, I hope our comments will be of help.  
Warm regards! Zulema